

## WP3.1: Kraftvärme i samspel med borrhålslager





## WP3.1:

- Kraftvärme i samspel med borrhålslager
- = Combined heat and power plants with borehole thermal energy storage

Systemintegration Stand-alone tool BTESS

2. Methods for accounting for groundwater flow

3. Design **Optimization** Exergy & Tecno-economic



## Stand-alone tool, BTESS

- For evaluating system's performance
- Flexible for handling any particular study case (data required)







# Stand-alone tool, BTESS

User friendly, quick, simple, no need of license



Simulation Parameters Simulation Length Timestep Temp for DH Control Number of Condensers One Condenser	10 years 1.00 hr 90.0 °C
U Iwo Condensers	
Heat Exchangers HX for Condenser 1 - UA-value	2860.00 kW/K
Input Files Time interval of data Temperature File - Condenser 1 Flow Rate File - DH System Temperature File - Ambient Temperature Power Limit File - BTES System Power Limit File - Heat Pump	24.0 hr "\Input\TemperatureFile_Cond1.txt" "\Input\FlowRateFile.txt" "\Input\TemperatureFile_Amb.txt" "\Input\PowerLimitFile_HEF.txt" "\Input\PowerLimitFile_HEF.txt"
Borehole Type O U-tube © Coaxial	
Coaxial BTES System - Parameters Number of Boreholes Borehole Depth Distance Between Boreholes Number of Boreholes in Series Fluid to Ground Resistance	1300 units   300 m   5.0 m   3 -   0.050 m.K/W



## **BTESS**

- Multi objective optimization
- System Design
- Simulation / Performance
- Test different technical parameters
- Identify a conflicting objectives
- Establish a Pareto front

#### **Optimization Process**





## **BTESS**

- Simplified version of DST
- Iterative design process



### **BTESS Design Process**





## Example





## **Example**, optimization

- Two conflicting objectives, efficiency and investment cost
- Each point is a system with a different and unique combination of parameters
- Consider a system that requires an investment A and performs with an efficiency B



- Part of the Pareto front (blue curve) because it is the less expensive system able to perform at an efficiency B.
- A more efficient system would be more expensive.
- A lower cost system would not be as efficient



# **Optimization: What performance indicators do we use in the BTESS tool?**



## Lack of satisfying KPIs for BTES systems

The main performance measure used nowadays to evaluate borehole systems is the so called "energy efficiency"  $\eta = \frac{Q_d}{Q_c}$ 

 $Q_d$ : heat extracted during the **discharging** season.

 $Q_c$ : heat injected during the **charging** season.

### This parameter does not tell the **full story**

We cannot easily compare two systems where energy injection and extraction is performed at different temperatures levels.



## **Performance indicators**

<b>Seasonal</b> parameters	E <b>n</b> ergy	$\eta = \frac{Q_d}{Q_c}$	~
	E <b>x</b> ergy	$\psi = \frac{Ex_d}{Ex_c}$	×
<b>Storage</b> parameters	E <b>n</b> ergy	$\eta_{\text{storage}}(V,t) = \frac{Q_{\text{stored}}(V,t)}{Q_{\text{exchanged}}(t)}$	×
	E <b>x</b> ergy	$\psi_{\text{storage}}(V, t) = \frac{Ex_{\text{stored}}(V, t)}{Ex_{\text{exchanged}}(t)}$	×

### Seasonal parameters Qd Exd Qd Exd Qc Exc



Storage parameters







## Application to high temperature borehole storage

## Model requirement for the study

- Flexible hydraulic connections. ٠
- Feed borehole with inlet temperatures and • mass flow rates.
- Reverse the flow between seasons. .
- Computation of energy and exergy stored in . the ground



m<sub>in</sub>



We made a custom model with these characteristics



## **Configuration 0: reference case**

Table 1: Properties utilized to define the reference case configuration case 0.

Property	Symbol	Value	Units
borehole radius	r <sub>b</sub>	0.0575	m
borehole length	Н	100	m
ground conductivity	k <sub>g</sub>	3	W/m K)
ground heat capacity	C <sub>g</sub>	1.875·10 <sup>6</sup>	J/(m <sup>3</sup> K)
grout conductivity	k <sub>b</sub>	1.5	W/(m K)
grout heat capacity	Cb	3.1·10 <sup>6</sup>	J/(m <sup>3</sup> K)
minimum boreholes distance	В	4	m
mass flow rate per loop	ṁ	0.5	kg/s
density fluid	ρ <sub>f</sub>	1000	
specific heat capacity fluid	cp <sub>f</sub>	4182	J/(kg K)
arrangement	-	series	-
inlet temperature summer time	T <sub>in,s</sub>	90	°C
inlet temperature winter time	T <sub>in,w</sub>	55	°C
Undisturbed temperature	To	8	°C





## **Test cases**

Table 1: Properties utilized to define the reference case configuration case 0.

Property	Symbol	Value	Units
borehole radius	r <sub>b</sub>	0.0575	m
borehole length	Н	100	m
ground conductivity	k <sub>g</sub>	3	W/m K)
ground heat capacity	Cg	1.875·10 <sup>6</sup>	J/(m <sup>3</sup> K)
grout conductivity	k <sub>b</sub>	1.5	W/(m K)
grout heat capacity	C <sub>b</sub>	3.1·10 <sup>6</sup>	J/(m <sup>3</sup> K)
minimum boreholes distance	В	4	m
mass flow rate per loop	ṁ	0.5	kg/s
density fluid	ρ <sub>f</sub>	1000	
specific heat capacity fluid	cp <sub>f</sub>	4182	J/(kg K)
arrangement	-	series	-
inlet temperature summer time	T <sub>in,s</sub>	90	°C
inlet temperature winter time	T <sub>in,w</sub>	55	°C
Undisturbed temperature	T <sub>o</sub>	8	°C



	arrangement	k <sub>b</sub> (W/(mK))	ṁ (kg/s)
case 0	series	1.5	0.5
case 1	parallel	1.5	0.5
case 2	series	<u>2.5</u>	0.5
case 3	parallel	<u>2.5</u>	0.5
case 4	series	<u>2.5</u>	<u>1</u>
case 5	parallel	<u>2.5</u>	<u>1</u>



## **Simulation results**

Heat flows and temperatures for each borehole in the field.

#### **Temperature plots Cheat sheet**







## Storage performance after 10 years of operation

.

•



# Comparison and remarks for the study cases investigated

- Large spread in terms of seasonal energy efficiency  $\eta$ .
- The spread is reduced when we take a look at seasonal exergy efficiency  $\psi$ .
- The energy storage efficiency  $\eta_{\text{storage}}$  is almost identical for all the systems.
- Systems with worse seasonal efficiency  $\eta$  are the one performing best in terms of  $\psi_{\text{storage}}$ .



## Storage performance after 10 years of operation



- Lower seasonal energy efficiency η does not necessarily mean higher heat losses from the storage volume.
- The performance indicators investigated can help improve the design of borehole heat storage systems, (BTESS)
- Looking at several parameters provides a better understanding of the processes of exchange and storage of heat with borehole field system.



# How do we measure exergy performance under the presence of groundwater flow?



## Performance influenced by groundwater flow

 We are developing a plug in to FEFLOW, based on the same exergy approach but numerically and 3D











## **Continues representation of fracture networks**





Properties of discret fractures (geometry, fracture density, fracture size, hydraulic connection through fractures etc.)

Properties of an equivalent porous media in block volumes (porosity, hydraulic conductivity etc.)





 Allows the use of existing and available approaches for porous media (FEFLOW, MODFLOW, OpenGeoSys m.m.) – publication in the pipeline









## Influence of groundwater flow

- Continues representation of discret fracture network
- Modelling of heat transport in hard fractured rock
- Numerical method for **energy- and exergy analysis** of BTES under the influence of groudwater flow



Apple 1. Junction IV. Microsov, M. & Calamane, 1 (2019). Fail Upscaling of the hydroxide Cambridge of New Dimensional Paralamet Press, Rock for Expension Webbilling. Mechanismum Societaria



## Conclusions

- 1. Simple and flexible stand-alone design tool under development
- 2. Methods to do energy- and exergy analysis of BTES systems have been proposed as optimization indicators
- 3. A method for modelling heat transport in hard fractured rock accounting for continues representation of discret fracture networks has been suggested
- 4. Lower seasonal energy efficiency does not necessarily mean higher heat losses from the storage volume.





## Thank you!

